

Managed by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science

Damping slip stacked beam instabilities and IOTA prospectives and synergies

Rob Ainsworth
APEC18
11th December 2018

APEC18



Accelerator Performance and Concept Workshop

- Beam Quality Control in Hadron Storage Rings and Synchrotrons,
- 2. Reliability and Availability of Particle Accelerators,
- 3. Improved Beam Stabilization

Sessions

Beam Quality Control in Hadron Storage Rings and Synchrotrons,

LINAC RELIABILITY

Reliability and Availability of Particle Accelerators

Improved Beam Stabilization NOVEL TRENDS For acc. performance



Outline


- Damping instabilities related to slip stacking
 - Accelerator complex
 - Slip-stacking
 - Bunch by bunch damper system
 - Issues
 - Slip stack damper
- Pushing to high intensities
 - Non linear integrable optics
 - FAST/IOTA



Accelerator complex

- H- linac
- Booster
 - h = 84
 - 15 Hz
 - 400 MeV -> 8 GeV
- Recycler
 - h = 588
 - Slip-stack 12 batches (double bunch intensity)
- Main Injector
 - 8 GeV -> 120 GeV

Fermilab Accelerator Complex







Slip stacking

 Slip-stacking allows us to double the intensity of the bunches in the Recycler

$$\Delta f = h_b f_b$$









Resistive wall instability


- Impedance of beam pipe can lead to instability
- Can be stabilized with chromaticity
- Alternatively, with a damper system








Bunch by bunch damper



$$1-3=Q$$
 Intensity x position $2-4=I$



Bunch by bunch damper during slip-stacking




No longer makes sense for slip stacked beam!




Typical 6+6 cycle - 2016

- 6 batches injected and then decelerated
- Further 6 injected
 - Bunch by bunch damper systems turn off (cannot deal with slipping)
 - Chromaticity raised to control resistive wall instability





High Chromaticity running




Exponential increase in losses as chromaticity increases

High chromaticity constrains tune space due to off-momentum beam

$$\Delta Q = \xi \delta p / p$$

at -5, tune shift ~0.013 at -20, tune shift ~0.048





Slip stack damper

 For slip-stacking, the growth rate for the sum mode (bunches) performing the same motion) is much larger than the difference mode

 A lower bandwidth damper looking at the envelope could be sufficient

PHYSICAL REVIEW ACCELERATORS AND BEAMS 21, 114401 (2018)

Coupled-beam and coupled-bunch instabilities


A. Burov*


Fermilab, P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60510-5011, USA

(Received 3 August 2018; published 2 November 2018)

A problem of coupled-beam instability is solved for two multibunch beams with slightly different revolution frequencies, as in the Fermilab Recycler Ring (RR). Sharing of the interbunch growth rates between the intrabunch modes is described. The general analysis is applied to the RR; possibilities to stabilize the beams by means of chromaticity and feedback are considered.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.21.114401







Diode damper

- Damper system developed based on Direct Diode Detection (3D) concept
- Bandwidth ~ 2.5 MHz
- System implemented in Jan 2017.
 The new damper system turns on
 during the cycle as the bunch by
 bunch dampers turn off.

HIGH SENSITIVITY TUNE MEASUREMENT BY DIRECT DIODE DETECTION

M. Gasior, R. Jones, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland




Figure 1: Direct Diode Detection principle.




Figure 2: An example of peak detector voltages.




Figure 3: Difference of the signals in Fig. 2.




Diode damper

Diode damper allowed final chromaticity to be reduced from -20 to -7

45E12 ppp




45E12 ppp





Damper questions

 The implementation of the new damper system allowed operation at much lower losses and was key to stable running for 700 kW operations




- Some questions remain
 - What is the maximum bandwidth the damper can operate at?
 - What is the max intensity for which Landau damping is sufficient for the higher frequency modes




Problems at higher intensity

- As intensity is increased, some concerns are
 - Coherent instabilties
 - Increased space charge effects




Resonance compensation





Beam Focusing in Accelerators



$$H(J_1, J_2) = \nu_x J_1 + \nu_y J_2$$

- Nonlinear terms are unavoidable and can be intentional/unintentional and can help/harm the beam:
 - Sextupoles are used for chromaticity compensation
 - Particles tunes are randomized with octupoles to enhance Landau damping for suppression of collective instabilities
 - At the same time most nonlinearities shrink stable region in the phase space by creating chaos for particles with high amplitudes
- Can we attempt to leave linear focusing behind?
 - Build machines that are nonlinear by design but stable (like Solar system)



Motivation for Nonlinear Integrable Optics


- We want to build an optical focusing system that
 - A. Is strongly nonlinear = strong dependence of oscillation frequency on amplitude
 - B. Is 2D integrable and stable
 - C. Can be realized with magnetic fields in vacuum
- Mathematically, that means the system should
 - Possess two integrals of motion
 - Have steep Hamiltonian
 - Field potential satisfies the Laplace equation
- Practical benefits relevant to future HEP machines
 - Reduced chaos in single-particle motion, e.g. helpful for space-charge suppression
 - Higher beam current and brightness from strong immunity to collective instabilities via Landau damping



Nonlinear Integrable Optics - Implementation


Danilov-Nagaitsev Solution (2010)

- 1. Remove time dependence from Hamiltonian thus making it an integral of the motion
 - Can be done with any nonlinear potential, for example <u>octupoles</u>
- 2. Shape the <u>nonlinear</u> potential to find a second integral
 - General solution was found, which satisfies the Laplace equation (Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 13, 084002, 2010)





Fermilab Accelerator Science and Technology FAST facility – unique set of capabilities



IOTA ring (completed 2018) - the only accelerator R&D ring of its kind

- -Protons or electrons
- -Highly flexible, precise

SRF electron linac (completed 2017)

- -Full ILC beam parameters
- -World record SRF beam accelerating gradient > 31.5MV/m


Proton RFQ (future addition – 2020)

–High current / high space-charge

Together – positioned to advance beam brightness and intensity



Fermilab Accelerator Science and Technology FAST facility – Injectors




Bunch charge	up to 2 nC	
Gun gradient	45 MV/m	
CC1 gradient	26 MV/m	
CC2 gradient	15 MV/m	
CM2 gradient	up to 31.5 MV/m	
Beam energy	150 MeV (up to 300)	
Bunch length	6-8 ps (rms)	
Pulse length	1 ms	
Bunch freq.	3 MHz	
Rep. rate	1 Hz (5 Hz)	

FAST proton injector (future)

Particles	proton	
Kinetic Energy	2.5 MeV	
Momentum	69 MeV/c	
β	0.073	
RF Structure	325 MHz	
Beam current	10 mA	
Emittance	0.3 mm mrad	
Rep. rate 1 Hz		
Pulse length	1.5 μs	
$\triangle Q_{sc}$ in IOTA	-0.5	

Centerpiece of FAST Integrable Optics Test Accelerator





Integrable Optics Test Accelerator – Unique R&D Machine

Flexible

- Can operate with either electrons or protons
- Easily reconfigurable quick-change experimental equipment
- Significant flexibility


Precise

- Precise control of the optics quality and stability
- Comprehensive set of precision instrumentation
- Set up for very high intensity operation (with protons)

Affordable

- Cost-effective solution, re-use existing parts whenever possible
- Mostly based on conventional technology (magnets, RF)
- Balance between low energy (low cost) and research potential





IOTA Parameters

	Flootropo	Drotono
	Electrons	Protons
Nominal kinetic energy	150 MeV	2.5 MeV
Nominal intensity	1×10 ⁹	1×10 ¹¹
Circumference	40 m	
Bending dipole field	0.7 T	
Beam pipe aperture	50 mm dia.	
Betatron tune	3-5	
Beam size (rms, x,y)	0.05 - 0.5 mm	5 - 15 mm
Transverse emittance r.m.s.	0.04 mm	2 mm
Synchrotron Radiation damping time	0.6s, 5×10 ⁶ turns	
Synchrotron tune	2-5×10 ⁻⁴	
Bunch length, momentum spread	12 cm, 1.4×10 ⁻⁴	
Beam pipe vacuum	2-4×10 ⁻¹⁰ Torr	
Beam lifetime	1-10 hour	1-10 min



FAST/IOTA Timeline

- ✓ 5 MeV e- beam 2015
- ✓50 MeV e- beam 2016
 - First experimental journal publication
- ✓300 MeV e- beam 2017
 - Beam accelerated in ILC-type CM
 - experimental program at FAST
- ✓IOTA commissioned with e- 2018
 - 1st IOTA experiments began
- p+ beam in IOTA 2020
- Research 2018-2023

JINST 12 T03002 (2017)



PUBLISHED BY IOP PUBLISHING FOR SISSA MEDIALAB

RECEIVED: December 20, 2016 ACCEPTED: January 29, 2017 PUBLISHED: March 6, 2017

TECHNICAL REPORT


IOTA (Integrable Optics Test Accelerator): facility and experimental beam physics program

S. Antipov, ^a D. Broemmelsiek, ^a D. Bruhwiler, ^b D. Edstrom, ^a E. Harms, ^a V. Lebedev, ^a J. Leibritz, ^a S. Nagaltsev, ^a C.S. Park, ^a H. Piekarz, ^a P. Piot, ^{a,1} E. Prebys, ^a A. Romanov, ^a J. Ruan, ^a T. Sen, ^a G. Stancari, ^a C. Thangaraj, ^a R. Thurman-Keup, ^a A. Valishev ^a and V. Shiltsev ^{a,2}

^a Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, U.S.A.
^b RadiaSoft LLC.

Boulder, Colorado 80304, U.S.A. E-mail: shiltsev@fnal.gov

ABSTRACT: The Integrable Optics Test Accelerator (IOTA) is a storage ring for advanced beam physics research currently being built and commissioned at Fermilab. It will operate with protons and electrons using injectors with momenta of 70 and 150 MeV/c, respectively. The research program includes the study of nonlinear focusing integrable optical beam lattices based on special magnets and electron lenses, beam dynamics of space-charge effects and their compensation, optical





Accelerator Science at IOTA/FAST

- 1. IOTA Ring priority research focused on high-intensity proton rings, driven mostly by Fermilab
 - Nonlinear Integrable Optics
 - Suppression of coherent instabilities
 - Optical Stochastic Cooling
 - Space-charge compensation
- 2. FAST e- Linac and IOTA opportunities concurrent with main IOTA program, driven mostly by external partners
 - Radiation generation
 - High average current experiments
 - Complementary to FACET-II
 - Suitable for LCLS-II commissioning, MARIE and EIC R&D
 - Quantum science



IOTA Accelerator and Beam Physics Roadmap

- 1. Complete the construction of IOTA/FAST facility
 - 1. Install and commission IOTA proton injector 2020*
- 2. **Conduct R&D in IOTA** with the goal to develop enabling technologies for next-generation facilities
 - 1. Nonlinear Integrable Optics (aim to improve beam stability / losses)
 - Phase I e- beam 2018–2021
 - Phase II p beam 2020–2022
 - 2. Optical Stochastic Cooling (enable p-beam cooling at high energy)
 - Without optical amplifier 2019–2021
 - With optical amplifier 2021–2023
 - 3. Space-charge Compensation (aim to reduce space-charge losses)
 - Using electron lens 2020–2023
 - Using electron column 2022–2023
- 3. Expand IOTA/FAST collaboration, establish AS User Facility 2019–2023



Summary

- One of the major hurdles in running high intensity slip stacked beam was overcome with the implementation of a new damper system
- As the intensity is pushed higher, it will be harder to run with minimal losses
 - Coherent instabilities
 - Space charge effects
- A move to nonlinear integrable optics could pave the way for better stability at high intensity

Backup




Why Dedicated Facility?

- Need for experimental beam physics research especially in circular accelerators
 - Many challenges on the way to higher beam intensity and brightness
- Difficult to conduct R&D in main complex
 - Production machines must operate 24/7 for HEP users
 - Disruptive studies opportunities limited by time, impact on operations
 - Hardware modifications expensive and time consuming
- Dedicated R&D facility is an efficient way to conduct proof-ofprinciple experiments, train researchers



Beam Focusing in Accelerators



$$H = c \left[m^{2}c^{2} + \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right)^{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad H' \approx \frac{p_{x}^{2} + p_{y}^{2}}{2} + \frac{K_{x}(s)x^{2}}{2} + \frac{K_{y}(s)y^{2}}{2}$$
$$x'' + K_{x}(s)x = S(s)x^{2} + O(s)x^{3} + \cdots$$
$$K(s+C) = K(s)$$

- Nonlinear terms are unavoidable and can be intentional/unintentional and can help/harm the beam:
 - Sextupoles are used for chromaticity compensation
 - Particles tunes are randomized with octupoles to enhance Landau damping for suppression of collective instabilities
 - At the same time most nonlinearities shrink stable region in the phase space by creating chaos for particles with high amplitudes
- It has been experimentally demonstrated that systems with one integral of motion are more stable:
 - 1/2-integer working point in colliders (KEK, 2004)
 - Crab-crossing at DAFNE (INFN/LNF, 2008)
 - Round colliding beams (BINP, 2011)



Nonlinear Integrable Optics - Implementation

- Danilov-Nagaitsev Solution (2010)
 - 1. Remove time dependence from Hamiltonian thus making it an integral of the motion
 - Can be done with any nonlinear potential, for example octupoles
 - 2. Shape the <u>nonlinear</u> potential to find a second integral
 - General solution was found, which satisfies the Laplace equation (Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 13, 084002, 2010)
- 2D Expansion of McMillan mapping
 - Two invariants of the motion
 - Implementation with <u>electron lens</u>
 - The steepest Hamiltonian

